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Introduction

For a repository of heat generating, radioactive waste, the thermal behaviour of the host rock and the impact of temperature increase on rock properties is of general importance. In the German Site Selection Act (2017), the maximum temperature of the container surface is precautionary limited to 100°C, but this limit might change in future, based on scientific and technological findings. Rock salt, as one of the possible host rocks, consists predominantly of halite with varying amounts of accessory minerals (e.g. Hudec & Jackson, 2007). However, some lithological units within a salt deposit, e.g. potash seams, show a different mineralogical composition with high amounts of potash minerals. Most of them are not deposit, e.g. potash seams, show a different mineralogical composition with high amounts of potash minerals. Most of them are not stable regarding temperature resistance and stress. They contain water in the crystal lattice, and therefore react sensitively to elevated temperatures (Jackson, 2007). However, some lithological units within a salt formation have to be evaluated carefully. Some minerals (e.g. carnallite) react sensitively to elevated temperature and pressure due to dehydration, decomposition or melting. Brines in salt rocks can change their mineral saturation status when temperature increases. This can cause dissolution or precipitation of minerals.

Examples

The melting point of most evaporite minerals is higher than the expected temperatures in a repository, but dehydration and partial melting might occur at relevant temperatures (Fig. 1).

Further, the influence of confining pressure plays a significant role for the thermal properties of minerals and rocks. For example, the dehydration temperature of carnallite is ca. 80°C at 0.1 MPa confining pressure (Kern & Franke, 1986). The melting point of carnallite increases from ca. 145°C/8 MPa to ca. 167°C/24 MPa (Fig. 2), which corresponds to a depth of ca. 1000 m.

Dependent on the mineral paragenesis and composition of saline solutions, different minerals develop with increasing temperature (Fig. 3; Usdowski & Dietzel, 1998). For instance, a salt rock with an initial composition of kieserite + carnallite + solution R$_0$ (91.9% Mg; 1.5% 2K; 6.6% SO$_3$) reacts solely to kieserite + solution, when the temperature increases from 25°C to 78°C, symbolized by the red point (Fig. 3). A rock with a composition of kainite + carnallite + sylvite + solution Q$_{max}$ (87.2% Mg; 6.8% 2K; 6.0% SO$_3$) reacts between 35°C and 55°C to kainite + solution, from 55°C to 78°C kieserite + solution is stable, symbolized by the green point (Fig. 3).

Conclusions

For the site selection, design and construction of an underground repository, the mineralogical composition of the host rocks and fluids and their spatial distribution have to be evaluated carefully. Some minerals (e.g. carnallite) react sensitively to elevated temperature and pressure due to dehydration, decomposition or melting. Brines in salt rocks can change their mineral saturation status when temperature increases. This can cause dissolution or precipitation of minerals.
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Fig. 1: Melting-, decomposition/partial melting- and dehydration temperatures of evaporate and accessory minerals at atmospheric pressure. Clay minerals are generalized and refer to the properties of the smectite and illite groups. From BGR (2016), extended and modified.

Fig. 2: P-T-diagram of carnallite. From Kern & Franke (1980, 1984, 1986), modified.

Fig. 3: Jänecke-diagram at 25°C, 35°C, 55°C, 69°C and 78°C. The upper point (Fig. 3). Dependent on the mineral paragenesis and composition of saline solutions, different minerals develop with increasing temperature (Fig. 3; Usdowski & Dietzel, 1998). For instance, a salt rock with an initial composition of kieserite + carnallite + solution R$_0$ (91.9% Mg; 1.5% 2K; 6.6% SO$_3$) reacts solely to kieserite + solution, when the temperature increases from 25°C to 78°C, symbolized by the red point (Fig. 3). A rock with a composition of kainite + carnallite + sylvite + solution Q$_{max}$ (87.2% Mg; 6.8% 2K; 6.0% SO$_3$) reacts between 35°C and 55°C to kainite + solution, from 55°C to 78°C kieserite + solution is stable, symbolized by the green point (Fig. 3).