



Supplement of

Diffusive transport of uranium and americium through clay rock down to ultra-trace levels

Daniel Glückman et al.

Correspondence to: Daniel Glückman (daniel.glueckman@kit.edu)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.



Diffusion of U(VI) and Am(III) through Opalinus Clay studied down to ultra-trace levels

D. Glückman¹, F. Quinto¹, C. Joseph¹, V. Metz¹, K. Hain², P. Steier², H. Geckeis¹

¹Institute for Nuclear Waste Disposal (INE), KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany

²Isotope Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria



KIT – Die Forschungsuniversität in der Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft

www.kit.edu

Motivation

Expected scenario

- Porewater interacts with HLW
- Radionuclides dissolve partly
- Main transport mechanism in clay rock: <u>Diffusion</u>
- Assumption: Actinides present mainly in low oxidation states (+III, +IV) due to reducing conditions
- Consequence: Low solubilities, strong sorption & slow diffusion of actinides through clay rock
- Ultra-trace actinide concentrations in the far-field are expected

Aim: Study of actinide diffusion in clay rock down to ultra-trace levels







Data on actinide diffusion available in literature

Reference	Conditions	Tracer(s)	Clay	Limit of detection (LOD) / (mol/m ³)
Yamaguchi <i>et al.</i> (2007)	reducing	²³⁷ Np(IV), ²³⁸ Pu(IV)	Bentonite	≈ 3 × 10 ⁻²
Wu <i>et al.</i> (2009)	aerobic	²³⁷ Np(V)	OPA	≈ 7 × 10 ⁻²
Joseph <i>et al.</i> (2013)	anaerobic	²³³ U(VI)	OPA	≈ 10 ⁻⁴

<u>Gap in knowledge:</u> Diffusive behavior of actinides << 10⁻⁴ mol/m³?

Aim: Explore potential differences in diffusive behavior of actinides at <u>ultra-trace</u> vs. higher concentrations

Method of choice



- **Requirement:** Extremely sensitive analytical technique
- <u>Accelerator</u> <u>Mass</u> <u>Spectrometry</u> (AMS)
- Most sensitive analytical technique for ultra-trace determination of long-lived actinides in environmental samples





In-diffusion setup

Clay rock samples

- Opalinus Clay (OPA), shaly facies (Mont Terri, Switzerland)
- Drilled parallel to the bedding
- Preparation of cylindrical samples
- Embedded in PMMA ("Plexiglas") sample holder with epoxy resin





In-diffusion setup





Synthetic pore water composition [1]:

[1] Gimmi, et al., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2014, 125, 373–393.

Sample processing ("126 days" sample)

- Removal of clay sample from diffusion reservoir
- PMMA cylinder glued on top (support)
- Saw off groove
- Expose back part of clay sample
- Processing in direction of increasing tracer concentration by abrasive peeling [1]

"126 days" sample: <u>Unexpectedly high tracer concentrations</u>, especially for longer distances: Cross-contamination? Preferential pathways? → Processing procedure for "240 days" sample adjusted!

Increasing tracer

concentration

side"

"distal side"

"pro

-PMMA support

Sample holder

Groove

Sample processing ("240 days" sample)

- Removal of <u>external surface</u> (≈ 100 µm)
- Elimination of sample holder contamination
- Drilling of core segments (red, light blue) and abrasion of corresponding rim segments (purple, dark blue)
- Investigation of preferential pathways across sample rim
- Abrasion of full segments (green): Abrasive peeling

Diffusion of ²³³U(VI) through OPA

- Determination of concentration profile down to <u>~ 10⁻⁹ mol/m³</u>
- Improvement by <u>5 orders</u> of magnitude compared to previous studies
- Core and rim segments follow general trend of decreasing ²³³U concentration
- No preferential pathway across sample rim

Diffusion of ²³³U(VI) through OPA

Effective diffusion coefficient D_e higher due to different diffusion directions [2]

C. Joseph et al., Diffusion of U(VI) in Opalinus Clay: Influence of temperature and humic acid, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 2013, 109, 74–89.
L. R. Van Loon. et al., Anisotropic Diffusion in Layered Argillaceous Rocks: A Case Study with Opalinus Clay, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2004, 38, 5721–5728.

Diffusion of ²⁴³Am(III) through OPA

- Determination of concentration profile down to ~ 10⁻⁶ mol/m³
- Profile is featured by two different sections:
- 0–1000 µm: Strong decrease in concentration
- > 1500–4000 µm: Less distinct decrease
- "fast runner" profile?

Diffusion of ²⁴³Am(III) through OPA

- K_d of first profile section in fair agreement with literature data
- **D**_a significantly **lower** compared with literature data

Different experimental conditions

[1] M. H. Bradbury, B. Baeyens, Far field sorption data bases for performance assessment of a high-level radioactive waste repository in an undisturbed Opalinus Clay host rock. PSI Technical Report 03-08, 2003, Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland.

[2] T. Sawaguchi, et al., Diffusion of Cs, Np, Am and Co in compacted sand-bentonite mixtures: evidence for surface diffusion of Cs cations, Clay Miner., 2013, 48, 411–422.

Comparison of Am(III) and Eu(III) diffusion

 $^{\rm 243}{\rm Am}$ concentration in OPA / (mol/m³) Eu(III) concentration in OPA / (mol/m³) **10**⁻¹ 10⁻¹ 10⁻² 10⁻² 10⁻³ -10⁻³ **10**⁻⁴ **10**⁻⁴ <u>–</u> Ē 10⁻⁵ -**10**⁻⁵ [1] PSI Progress Report 2019; ΗΦ Laboratory for Waste Management 1000 (LES), Paul Scherrer Institut, 2000 3000 4000 500 1500 2000 1000 0 n Distance / um Villigen, Switzerland, 2020. Distance / µm

µCT investigation of clay rock sample

KIT IAM-ET: Adrian Lindner, Dr. Wolfgang Menesklou, Dr. Jochen Joos

Is the "fast runner" profile caused by micro cracks?

- Abundance of cracks increases in direction of the proximal sample side
- Crack diameter up to 50 µm

Proximal side

Hypothesis:

Tracers were transported by a combination of:

- **Pore diffusion >** through porous clay matrix
- Water diffusion \rightarrow along cracks (faster process!)

Ongoing short-term diffusion experiments with ²³³U(VI) and ²⁴³Am(III) for **20, 40 & 60 d**

Setup of COMSOL Multiphysics model including crack geometry

Goal: Investigation of "fast-runner" profiles

Acknowledgements

- KIT INE: Workshop
- University Mainz: Prof. Tobias Reich
- PSI: Martin Glaus, Luc Van Loon
- KIT IAM-ET: Adrian Lindner, Wolfgang Menesklou, Jochen Joos
- Federal Ministry of Education and Research & Helmholtz Association of German Research -> Funding of the iCROSS project (contract No.: 02 NUK 053 C)

Thank you for your attention!

Federal Ministry of Education and Research

HELMHOLTZ RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES