



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 **A Drop in the Ocean: Photographic Witnessing and the Fukushima Wastewater Release**
12 Dr. Fiona Amundsen
13
14 Associate Professor
15 Te Kura Toi a Hoahoa | School of Art + Design
16 Te Ara Auaha | Faculty of Design and Creative Technology
17 Te Wānanga Aronui O Tamaki Makau Rau | AUT University
18 Private Bag 92006, Auckland 1142
19 AOTEAROA NEW ZEALAND
20
21 E-Mail: fiona.amundsen@aut.ac.nz
22



23 **Abstract**

24 Ever since the Japanese government's 2021 announcement, approving Tokyo Electric Power
25 Company's (TEPCO) plan to discharge this wastewater into the Pacific Ocean, there has been
26 widespread public dissension. In efforts to control public opinion and mistrust, words such as
27 'treated', 'purified' and 'diluted' circulated amongst official government and scientific discourse
28 concerning TEPCO's plan. These words are mundane, deceptive and distracting. For example,
29 remaining traces of tritium were proposed as so diluted that the water is akin to drinkable standards.
30 Furthermore, the vast scale of the Pacific Ocean reinforced just how diluted the Fukushima
31 wastewater would ultimately become, totalling to 0.000183%, meaning quite literally a drop in the
32 ocean. This article responds to this context by exploring how this language of dilution and trace
33 function to mask the slow eco-cultural violence embedded in Japan's wastewater release. Specifically,
34 I focus on how my photographic series *Listening to Seaweed* attempts to visualise what is largely
35 imageless—diluted trace evidence of tritium. Through close readings of these artworks, I explore
36 how photographic film's inherent sensitivity to ionizing radiation can register, and thereby witness,
37 not just radioactivity but also, by proxy, the ideological contexts which continue to perpetuate
38 nuclear power as a safe by-product of the technology developed to produce nuclear weapons.
39 Methodologically framed via artist and theorist Susan Schuppli's (2020) conception of material
40 witnessing, I argue for forms of politicised witnessing that move beyond visibility itself; instead,
41 quantifiable evidence of nuclear ideology is physically embedded in the image. This article questions
42 how these materially oriented methods can establish forms of socio-ethical listening and material
43 witnessing that promote transgenerational nuclear justice concerning this current geo-political
44 moment.

45



46 1. Introduction

47 Photographic images, produced by either analogue film or a digital sensor, are materially
48 dependent on some kind of electromagnetic radiation to exist. All photographs derive from
49 capturing refracted light, which is the result of exposure to electromagnetic radiation.¹ The most
50 common types of photographic exposure work within the visible spectrum of light, meaning
51 electromagnetic radiation that the human eye can register; which involve sunlight and light
52 produced electrically. These light sources are non-ionizing forms of radiation. Equally, there
53 are other forms of photographic image generation—namely with medical, scientific and
54 industrial applications—that work with invisible electromagnetic radiation, which is ionizing.
55 Photography’s relationship to electromagnetic radiation, be it ionising or not, is inherently
56 material, meaning some kind of energy has to be literally present to indexically imprint itself into
57 the image capturing device. For analogue photographs, this connection is not just material, but
58 also physical: the silver halide crystals of photographic emulsion are activated and altered by
59 electromagnetic radiation, regardless of its visibility. Photographic film’s sensitivity to registering
60 invisible electromagnetic radiation makes the medium a valuable tool within the context of the
61 Japanese government’s 2021 announcement approving Tokyo Electric Power Company’s
62 (TEPCO) plans to discharge wastewater from the damaged Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power
63 Plant (FDNPP) into the Pacific Ocean. This sensitivity forms the central concern of this article.
64
65 The March 2011 magnitude 9.0 earthquake, which struck northeastern Japan, triggered a massive
66 tsunami that disabled the power supply and cooling systems of the FDNPP, leading to reactor
67 meltdowns, hydrogen explosions, and the release of radioactive materials into the surrounding
68 atmosphere. Water, which had been used to cool the reactors by circulating through the cores to
69 absorb heat, was no longer able to flow effectively after the cooling systems failed. As a result,
70 the plant relied on emergency water pumps, and seawater was injected into the reactors to
71 prevent further overheating, and minimise meltdowns. Post the immediate disaster, water has
72 consistently been pumped into the plant’s reactors as part of its decommissioning process,
73 resulting in approximately 1.4 million tons of radioactive wastewater. Sitting onsite in one
74 thousand plus storage tanks, this contaminated water although treated to eliminate radionuclides
75 still contains tritium.² Although the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) attest that the

¹ This dependence is still the case for AI-generated photographs, which are created using deep learning models, particularly generative adversarial networks (GANs) and diffusion models. These models analyse vast datasets of real images and learn patterns, textures, lighting, and composition to generate realistic or stylized images as if from scratch.

² According to the International Atomic Energy Agency, the water has been treated via an ‘Advanced Liquid Processing System (ALPS): “ALPS is a pumping and filtration system, which uses a series of chemical reactions to remove 62 radionuclides from contaminated water. However, ALPS is not able to remove tritium from the contaminated water”. See ‘Fukushima Daiichi



76 levels are below the standards of 1,500 becquerels per litre and therefore in line with
77 international safety standards, it is known that internal exposure to tritium, through ingestion,
78 absorption or inhalation, increases cancer and other adverse health related risks.³
79
80 Starting in late 2023, to date, roughly 31,200 tons of this diluted wastewater has been released,
81 equating to just ten tanks worth. The entire process is anticipated to take thirty years and has
82 been met with widespread public dissension. In efforts to control public opinion and mistrust,
83 words such as ‘treated’, ‘purified’ and ‘diluted’ circulated amongst official government and
84 scientific discourse concerning TEPCO’s plan. These words are deceptive and distracting. For
85 example, remaining traces of tritium were proposed as being so diluted that the water is akin to
86 drinkable standards (Lewis, 2023). Furthermore, the vast scale of the Pacific Ocean reinforced
87 just how diluted the Fukushima wastewater would ultimately become, totalling to 0.000183%,
88 meaning quite literally a drop in the ocean. This article responds to this context by exploring
89 how ideas of dilution and trace function to mask the slow eco-cultural violence embedded in
90 Japan’s wastewater release. Specifically, I focus on how my photographic series *Listening to*
91 *Seaweed* (2025) attempts to visualise what is essentially difficult to image—both diluted trace
92 evidence of tritium and the ongoing geo-political impacts of nuclear imperialism.⁴ In the context
93 of this article, nuclear imperialism is “defined as [State] domination, possession, and control on
94 the use of nuclear weapons and civil nuclear technology” (Hussain and Zahoor 71, 2019).
95
96 *Listening to Seaweed* consists of pinhole large-format analogue photographs that were taken in two
97 seemingly unrelated locations: Hiroshima and Ishigaki Island. The resulting artworks attempt to
98 connect these two places via the nuclear imperial histories and experiences associated with each;
99 namely the World War Two (WWII) 1945 atomic attack on Hiroshima, and the present-day

ALPS Treated Water Discharge-FAQS’, <https://www.iaea.org/topics/response/fukushima-daiichi-nuclear-accident/fukushima-daiichi-alps-treated-water-discharge/faq>.

³ See Maria F. Ferreira, Andrew Turner, and Awadhesh N. Jha’s ‘Controlled Release of Radioactive Water from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant: Should We Be Concerned?’ (2024) where they discuss the untested dangers of releasing significant quantities of tritium into the environment with respect to its behaviour: “It is known that tritium (half-life of 12.6 years) in its inorganic form (i.e., HTO) quickly integrates into biological systems and can consequently associate with organic molecules [as organically bound-tritium (OBT)]” (4840). They also state that “With regard to human health, higher incidences of chromosomal aberrations have been reported in workers exposed to ³H [tritium] compared to unexposed individuals while higher incidences of childhood leukemia around nuclear power plants, attributed to exposures, have been hotly debated in the scientific community” (4864).

⁴ For this series, the idea of listening is framed via Māori filmmaker Barry Barclay who believed that “we might do well to further explore how to make the camera a listener. As a Māori, you are taught how to listen, you sit at the feet and open your ears. You have ‘no right to know’. The knowledge is gifted to you at appropriate times and appropriate places” (Barclay 1990, 17). Barclay explored this and other related material in his text *Our Own Image: A Story of a Māori Filmmaker* (1990), where he contemplated how Māori ways of being could become strategies within documentary filmmaking. I too am interested in how a camera can visually listen, and thereby enable the resulting images to enact new and different forms of witnessing, and connecting to histories, experiences, memories and also the self.



100 bolstering of Japanese military capability, which is evidenced by the newly developed (2023)
101 Ground Self-Defence Force (GSDF) base in Ishigaki. The resulting photographs focus on a
102 series of hibakujumoku trees (trees that survived the atomic bombings of Hiroshima and
103 Nagasaki), protests, and shorelines impacted by GSDF in Ishigaki.⁵ This series also explores
104 how the material and indexical properties of film may be employed to visualize invisible trace
105 radiation. I am interested in how filmic emulsion can literally record, and thereby witness, what
106 remains invisible to human senses, meaning radiation residue and military pollution. These ideas
107 are extended by devising film developing methods where exposed film is processed using
108 developer that has been made from seaweed gathered from the ocean current of the Fukushima
109 wastewater release.

110



111

112 Figure 1. *Experiment Number 1: Society of Grannies to Protect Life and Livelihood, Ground Self-Defence Force Base, 19th January 2025, processed using Wrangelia*
113 *seaweed, collected from Ibamaru Fishing Port, Ishigaki Island*

⁵ Although the atomic attacks on Japan decimated much of the life—humans, trees and otherwise—within the hypocentre and surrounding areas, about 170 trees in Hiroshima and 50 in Nagasaki survived. Although their above ground trunks, branches and foliage were burnt to stumps, their underground root systems survived. About two months after the attacks, these trees started to grow and regenerate. There are several Japanese based non-profit organisations—Green Legacy Hiroshima and the Nagasaki Kusunoki Project—that look after the trees and engage in anti-nuclear education and abolition activism.



114

115 Figure 2. *Experiment Number 2: Ibaruma Bay, beside the Fishing Port, 18th January 2025, processed using Aosa seaweed collected from Ibaruma,*
116 *Ishigaki Island*

117

118 My series aligns with established modes of artistic research that respond to not only the
119 Fukushima disaster but also the ongoing impacts of WWII and Cold War nuclear weapon
120 detonations, as well as the Chernobyl (1986) nuclear powerplant accident. Many artists have
121 devised methods which utilise the material properties of analogue photographic emulsion to
122 visualise the continuing effects of invisible ionising radiation. There is a focus on how to see that
123 which literally has no image, meaning radiation itself. Accordingly, this article begins with an
124 analysis—in ‘Analogue Film and the Invisible Presence of Ionising Radiation’—of recent
125 artworks that have explored how photographic film is able to visually depict radiation patterns
126 existing in nuclear contaminated environments. This section argues that these artistic methods
127 can challenge the constraints of conventional nuclear iconography, which although aesthetically
128 spectacular, fails to accurately depict the realities of ionising radiation and imperial ideology. I
129 am specifically interested in how these methods may function to broaden human perception and
130 vision concerning nuclear contexts. They offer unique forms of socio-ethical witnessing that
131 require types of engagement that extend beyond what is recognisable and thereby knowable as
132 ‘nuclear’ within photographic images. These concepts are central to recent theorising of
133 photography where discourse has shifted away from the limits of representation to the ways in



134 which images can be used, because of their indexical relationship to reality, to see anew and
135 thereby imagine varying socio-political conditions that inform reality. This thinking is explored
136 more fully in ‘Documentary Photographic Witnessing and the All-too-real Image’, where I
137 reference theorist Georges Didi-Huberman’s (2008) argument that situates the role of
138 imagination as fundamentally ethical when engaging with photographs that on the surface appear
139 visually removed from the contexts they represent.

140

141 The final section—‘Listening to Seaweed: *Seeing* Diluted Trace Evidence of Tritium’—explores
142 how my materially oriented methods can establish forms of socio-ethical listening and material
143 witnessing regarding the slow eco-cultural violence of this current geo-political moment.
144 Through close readings of my artworks, I explore how photographic film’s inherent sensitivity to
145 ionising radiation can register, and thereby witness, not just radioactivity but also, by proxy, the
146 ideological contexts which continue to perpetuate nuclear technology, be it weapons
147 manufacturing or power, as viable options in a world that is experiencing a global rise in far-right
148 nationalist extremist governments. Methodologically influenced via artist and theorist Susan
149 Schuppli’s (2020) conception of material witnessing, I argue for forms of politicised witnessing
150 that move beyond visibility itself. In other words, any sense of witnessing resides in the intrinsic
151 material properties of the photographic film, as opposed to what the images depict. On the
152 surface, the photographs appear as quite mundane; they depict shorelines, rocks coated in
153 seaweed, and trees. Their visibility is not obviously nuclear. I am interested in how these images
154 establish modes of bearing witness to the ongoing socio-environmental impacts of nuclear
155 imperialism. Although my images appear to visually contain nothing of the contexts they engage
156 with, they can become tools for critically thinking, feeling and narrating anew current geo-
157 political contexts. My images resist being “just a fact of something real in the world” and instead
158 aim to speak of “the social condition of that world” (Enwezor, 98, 2009). This position is
159 particularly important when thinking about how the nuclear seeps, invisibly, into not just the
160 present, but the future too.

161

162 **2. Analogue Film and the Invisible Presence of Ionising Radiation**

163 Photography has a history of making the intangible tangible. The medium’s ability to capture
164 ephemeral moments, abstract concepts, and invisible phenomena into fixed, visual
165 representations has long been a source of critical wonder with respect to the medium itself. As
166 theorist Suzie Linfield argues



167 photographs excel, more than any other form of either art or journalism, in offering an
168 immediate, viscerally emotional connection to the world. People don't look at
169 photographs to understand the inner contradictions of global capitalism... They – we –
170 turn to photographs for other things: for a glimpse of what cruelty, or strangeness, or
171 beauty, or agony, or love, or disease, or natural wonder, or artistic creation, or deprived
172 violence, looks like (Linfield, 22, 2010).
173

174 Although Linfield acknowledges that images construct and manipulate vision and thereby
175 meaning, she also champions the significance of responding to images through their referential
176 relationship to the real, meaning photographs look like the things they represent. Key to
177 Linfield's argument is how photographic images, be they analogue or digital, have a uniquely
178 indexical relationship to reality. This relationship resides in the physical connection—caused by
179 refracting light rays linked to electromagnetic radiation—that exists between whatever is
180 photographed and its resulting image. Digital photographs are produced when a camera's image
181 sensor converts refracted light into binary code; analogue photographs are the result of light
182 absorbed by layers of light-sensitive silver halide crystals, recorded as latent image, which is then
183 revealed through chemical processing. Although materially different, both forms of image
184 making are dependent on the presence of refracting light bouncing off whatever is in front of the
185 lens. No other form of representation can claim this causal, indexical, relationship.
186

187 As discussed, analogue photographic film is particularly sensitive to light derived from both
188 visible and invisible forms of electromagnetic radiation. Regardless of the source, the silver
189 halide crystals of photographic emulsion have the ability to record that which goes beyond
190 human vision and senses. This trait is particularly relevant within nuclear contexts. Ionising
191 radiation is imperceptible to human senses. Subsequently, photographic film is an indispensable
192 medium for revealing its existence. Its material properties can register radiation's presence across
193 a variety of contexts and conditions.⁶ Within a visual arts context film's material ability to
194 visualise invisible ionising radiation has been a source of research and experimentation for
195 numerous artists. Many artists have used photographic film to test the limits of how to represent
196 the catastrophic and durational human and environmental impacts that have resulted from Cold
197 War nuclear era weapons testing, uranium mining, nuclear powerplant accidents, and nuclear
198 waste management. There is a shared interest in perceiving and making visible the economic,

⁶ Moving-image celluloid also holds this same ability. For example, after the Chernobyl Nuclear Reactor Unit 4 meltdown (1986) filmmaker Vladimir Shevchenko was assigned with the task of documenting the cleanup operation that was underway. He flew over the most impacted radioactive areas filming the scene below. "When Shevchenko's 35mm footage was later developed, he noticed that a portion of the film was heavily pockmarked and carried extraneous static interference and noise" (Schuppli, 61, 2020). His film's exposure—and ultimately Shevchenko himself who died in 1987—had not only recorded the actuality and severity of the accident, but also the presence of dangerously high-levels of atmospheric ionising radiation. The impacted film stock is included in the documentary *Chernobyl: Chronicle of Difficult Weeks* (1986).



199 political, ecological, and medical impacts associated with these nuclear contexts (Davre, 2, 2019).
200 The resulting artworks, in spite of their different methods of production, share a common goal
201 which is to critically challenge how nuclear phenomena is mobilised, and thereby socially
202 understood, via mainstream media and government endorsed representations. Criticism is
203 directed towards how established forms of nuclear iconography—be it the mushroom clouds of
204 the 1945 atomic attack on Japan and subsequent global testing (1946-96) of nuclear weapons, or
205 the decimated buildings and cooling towers of nuclear powerplants—do not actually depict the
206 dangerous realities of ionising radiation.⁷ Artists are looking for ways to make visible not only
207 the radioactive particles that punctuate environments and bodies associated with these nuclear
208 contexts, but also the ideology that justifies one nation’s use of another’s lands and oceans for its
209 nuclear tests and the rhetoric of nuclear power as a clean, waste free, green alternative to other
210 forms of power generation. As such, these artists primarily work with radioactive particles, via
211 autoradiography methods, as direct material within their artworks.

212
213 Autoradiography is a camera-less photographic process that “registers objects and entities that
214 are radioactive themselves” (Moskatova, 120, 2022). It involves direct contact between objects
215 and “customary photographic material or X-ray film” or “by plunging films into contaminated
216 materials” (Moskatova, 120, 2022). This technique was first developed by physicist Henri
217 Becquerel in the late nineteenth century. He discovered that uranium salts emit invisible beta
218 particles and gamma rays. These forms of radiation are capable of penetrating objects and
219 activating the silver halide crystals within photographic emulsion. He experimented with placing
220 objects between the emitted particles and rays, and an emulsion lined photographic plate to
221 produce images. Becquerel’s experiments demonstrated that radiation could be used as a form
222 of exposure to reveal an object’s internal mass, effectively allowing one to see through solid
223 matter. Technically, when electromagnetic radiation interacts with photographic emulsion, it
224 creates a latent image—an invisible record formed in the silver halide crystals—which becomes
225 visible only after the exposed material is chemically processed. The resultant processed image
226 functions as a form of witnessing the presence of radiation, which in nuclear contexts is ionising.

⁷ Nuclear iconography is an established field of scholarly criticism, with numerous texts specifically critiquing the socio-political implications of the mushroom cloud image. For key resources, see, but not limited to: Feighery (2011), Hales (1991), Hamilton and O’Gorman (2018), Hariman and Lucaites (2012), Jacobson (2021), O’Brian (2015), Rosenthal (1991), and Taylor (2003). Although differing in specific focus and methodology, these authors can be categorised as sharing a general mistrust in the ways that mainstream and state endorsed representations of nuclear weapons development, testing and stockpiling function to abstract their destructive killing powers by reducing them to aesthetic wonders separated from consequence. In particular, Hariman and Lucaites describe the mushroom cloud as an image trope which contains a “a profound disconnect between the spectator and whatever has happened before the blast, and whatever has happened beneath it. All sense of cause, proportion, or complicity is obliterated by the incredible power unleashed from a single bomb, and hundreds of thousands could be dying below but the spectator sees only smoke and sky. Most important, the image is wholly disembodied. There are neither people nor a familiar *mise en scène* of embodied social interaction to ground one’s encounter with the image” (Robert H., and Lucaites, J. L., 141, 2012).



227 Artists have adapted this process to work with radioactive remnants associated with nuclear
228 contexts as diverse as the Manhattan Project and the Trinity bomb test site in Yootó Hahoodzo
229 (New Mexico); the American ‘Operation Crossroads’ (1946) nuclear weapons testing programme
230 in the Marshall Islands; the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant disaster (1986); and the Fukushima
231 Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant reactor meltdown (2011).⁸ Of particular relevance to this article is
232 how artists have used autoradiography methods to represent the Fukushima nuclear accident,
233 which, although fourteen years, on is still the world’s most recent and ongoing—via the lingering
234 presence of environmental ionising radiation, and the wastewater release—nuclear disaster.

235

236 Writer Olga Moskatova outlines, in ‘Photographing Hyperobjects: The Non-human Temporality
237 of Autoradiography’ (2022), that artists employing autoradiography methods to work with
238 nuclear contexts deploy three main visual strategies. The resultant artworks either contain vague
239 shapes and colours; or establish “a comparison between conventional photography and
240 autoradiographic traces, either by embracing the decay of a photographic representation or by
241 juxtaposing it with abstract images of radiation”; or they autoradiograph “recognisable objects”
242 (Moskatova, 122, 2022). Regardless of the visual outcome, the artistic methods involve burying
243 film in radioactive contaminated zones, or forms of direct contact printing, where radioactive
244 matter—such as dirt, plants, and everyday objects from nuclear impacted environments—is
245 placed directly on top of the photographic film to create an exposure.⁹ When the film is
246 processed, the resulting images contain glowing white and soft grey blurry splotches, set against a
247 black background, that collectively delineate the form whatever radioactive matter was in direct
248 contact with it. The denser the outline and indication of an object, the stronger the presence of
249 radioactivity.

250

251 In response to the Fukushima accident, several Japanese artists employed radiography methods

⁸ See Jeremy Bolen’s series *Site A/Plot M* (2011-13) which works with remains from the site of the world’s first nuclear reactor; Julian Charrière’s *First Light* (2016) with its focus on the legacies of the US testing programme dubbed ‘Operation Crossroads’ that took place in the unceded territories of the Marshall Islands; Susanne Kriemann’s ongoing series *Library for Radioactive Archive* (2016 -) which explores histories of German uranium mining; Alice Miceli’s *Project Chernobyl* (2006-10) which looks at the how areas around the site of Chernobyl nuclear powerplant accident remain highly charged with radioactivity; Monika Niwelińska’s *γ[gamma trace]* (2017) which addresses the source of where nuclear technologies began, meaning the Manhattan Project Trinity test site in Yootó Hahoodzo (New Mexico); and Elin O’Hara Slavick’s *After Hiroshima* (2008) which navigates the history of the 1945 US atomic bombing of Japan. In addition, artists—namely Masamichi Kagaya’s *Autoradiograph* (2012 -); Yoi Kawabuko’s *If the Radiance of a Thousand Suns Were to Burst at Once into the Skies* (2014-19); Hélène Lucien and Marc Pallain’s *Fukushima: The Invisible Revealed* (2012); and Shrimpei Takeda’s *Trace* (2012)—have specifically focused on the environmental, human and political impacts of the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant reactor meltdown.

⁹ Although not directly involving radioactive particles from contaminated sites, artist Abbey Hepner’s series titled *Transuranic* (2014) uses a nineteenth century photographic process that uses uranium nitrate (a water-soluble yellow uranium salt that is used in the preparation of nuclear fuels), instead of silver halides, to produce a photographic print. Her images are of infrastructure associated with the disposal of nuclear waste linked to nuclear power plants; the series mainly focuses on the site of the ‘Waste Isolation Pilot Plant’ (in Yootó Hahoodzo, New Mexico), which is a permanent geological repository for radioactive waste.



252 as a means by which to visualise the impacts of the disaster to local community lands and
253 infrastructure. Fukushima-born artist Shimpei Takeda used, as part of *Trace* (2012) contaminated
254 soil, sources from historically significant locations across the Kanto and Tōhoku regions, to
255 produce a series of photographic exposures. His process involved positioning the collected soil
256 directly onto unexposed black and white film. This assembly was then stored in a light-tight
257 container, with the exposure duration extending up to a month, based on the soil's level of
258 contamination. The resulting images evoke the appearance of a galaxy; however, their whitish-
259 grey marks serve as evidence of trace radiation within the sampled soil, as opposed to stars.
260 Takeda believes that by “visualizing [these] traces into visible form, the resulting images will
261 speak to us beyond the photograph, and perhaps they will be a valuable asset and documentation
262 for future generations” (Takeda, 213, 2013). Implicit in Takeda's statement is how these images
263 can evidence radiation, both now and for the duration of radioactivity's lifespan.

264
265 This same reading holds relevance to other artists who have also worked with and in radioactive
266 hotspots associated with Fukushima. Masamichi Kagaya, in *Autoradiograph* (started in 2012),
267 examines how high levels of atmospheric radioactivity influence surrounding plant and animal
268 life. He gained access to restricted areas to gather specimens such as trees, small deceased
269 animals, and everyday objects, which he then positioned onto radiographic imaging plates. Once
270 processed, the images have the same eerie white and grey splotches as Takeda's, however these
271 images depict recognisable things. For example, amongst his images of irradiated foliage and
272 deceased animals there are objects like a glove, a baseball helmet, a t-shirt, shoes, and a pair of
273 scissors. The latter are particularly haunting as their forms are clearly indicative of the humans
274 whose bodies once occupied these objects. These photographs not only witness the event and
275 its ongoing legacy, but also “the voice of its victims”. (Davre, 11, 2019). This ‘voice’ in turn
276 establishes both an emotional connection to and a critical textual analysis of the socio-political
277 realities they represent.

278
279 Working in a related manner, Yoi Kawakubo's photographic series *If the Radiance of a Thousand*
280 *Suns Were to Burst at Once into the Skies* (2014-19) consists of colour photographs printed from
281 large format negatives that were buried for several months, three years after the initial accident,
282 in Evacuation Zones surrounding Fukushima. Once processed and printed, the resulting
283 photographs depict abstract merging terrains of different colours which link to not only the
284 film's material composition but also the different wave lengths present within radiation. They
285 are also suggestive of the duration of radioactivity's lifespan as they offer ways to ‘see’ time and



radiation itself. These images attest to the ongoing radioactive aftermath of Fukushima, as “for radioactive particles in the atmosphere to be visible on photographic film, the level of radiation has to be very high” (Volkmar, 64, 2022). However, what they depict is not necessarily recognisable as being obviously nuclear, meaning the artworks differ from conventional nuclear iconography. That said, the artworks at a cellular level are undeniably connected to the nuclear contexts they represent.

292

293 3. Documentary Photographic Witnessing and the All-too-real Image

Photographic documentary images have long held contested relationships to the things they represent. Since the 1970s, this uncertainty has been characterised by a photograph’s indexical reliance on a material world, and the ways in which this relationship manifests through social, political and ethical circulation of meanings. A camera’s twofold ability to produce an index of the world (a sign causally related to its referent), and for this sign to also function ideologically, has informed photomedia theories that politicise representation and the medium of capture itself.¹⁰ Throughout the 1980s and 90s, photographic discourse—influenced by theorists Roland Barthes and Susan Sontag—fostered a profound scepticism towards the truthfulness and objectivity of photographic images, as well as their ability to accurately depict reality. This theorising framed both photographs and the ‘reality’ they purportedly capture, as artificial constructs. Although Barthes (1980) and Sontag (1977), concede to the special indexical connection lens-based images have to reality, much thinking of that time perceived images as instruments of deception, leading to an overarching mistrust of any genuine connection to reality; emphasis was directed towards cultural decoding and critique (Tagg, 63-4, 1988). This era saw the debunking of photographic indexicality as a “discursive construction”; reality was considered an “effect of images rather than their cause” resulting in a “deep mistrust in lens-based documentary’s use-value” (Balsom, 4, 2017).

311

Theories that frame documentary lens-based practice with suspicion have been re-examined since the late 1990s. Instead of critiquing how lens-based images function socio-culturally, the focus now is more with what images can do—the ways that they impact and thereby connect their viewers with what is visually represented. This shift is particularly potent within nuclear contexts and their associated socio-environmental violence. In *The Cruel Radiance: Photography and Political Violence* (2010), Susie Linfield addresses the implications of the previous mode of critique:

¹⁰ For example, Roland Barthes (1980), Susan Sontag (1977), Victor Burgin (1982), Martha Rosler (1992), John Tagg (1988) and others critiqued the manner in which photographs are contextualised through various cultural, semiotic and materialist frameworks, be they associated with art historical, social, political or documentary contexts.



318 “what we have lost is the capacity to respond to photographs, especially those of political
319 violence, as citizens who seek to learn something useful from them and connect to others
320 through them. Antipathy to the photograph now takes us only so far...” (Linfield, 24-5, 2010).
321 Linfield, among others, embraces the very property—photomedia’s relationship to reality—that
322 Sontag and her contemporaries framed as a failed promise. However, this embracement requires
323 careful attention with respect to imagining and negotiating what an image shows, and the
324 discourses that emerge: this extends further than what can be seen in an image.

325
326 The idea of imagination with respect to photographic images has been politicised by theorist
327 Georges Didi-Huberman in *Images in Spite of All: Four Photographs from Auschwitz* (2008). This text
328 focuses on the only existing photographs that depict the mass killings of Jewish prisoners in Nazi
329 concentration camps. Didi-Huberman analyses how, because of the conditions from which they
330 emerged, these images must be seen; their content, the killings at Auschwitz, must be imagined
331 in some way. However, this proposition is complicated by the images themselves. As they were
332 produced in secret and in haste (by the *Sonderkommando*), the images are compromised in the
333 specificity that they aim to speak to: they are grainy, shot from a distance in contrasting light,
334 with bodies, smoke and landscape blurred together. Although what these photographs show is
335 limited, Didi-Huberman argues that their existence alone must be acknowledged, thereby
336 creating an actual link, via the image, to the unimaginable hell of Auschwitz (Didi-Huberman, 3,
337 2008). For Didi-Huberman imagination gives what cannot be seen in these images a sense of
338 visibility:

339 *To imagine in spite of all*, which calls for a difficult ethics of the image: neither the invisible
340 par excellence (the laziness of the aesthete), nor the icon of horror (the laziness of the
341 believer), nor the mere document (the laziness of the learned). A simple image:
342 inadequate but necessary, inexact but true. True of a paradoxical truth, of course. I
343 would say that here the image is the *eye of history*: its tenacious function of making visible
344 (Didi-Huberman, 39, 2008).

345
346 These photographs are connected to the real that was Auschwitz, that “famous *indexicality* that
347 today’s postmodernists are wrong to have tired of so quickly” (Didi-Huberman, 75, 2008). For
348 Didi-Huberman, this is something special, something to work with in terms of enacting a closer,
349 lived relationship between that political past and the present. However, he also acknowledges
350 that it is tricky to enact this relationship when these images are fragmented to the point of almost
351 abstracting what they depict. He asks: “Why is there such a difficulty? It is because we often ask
352 too much or too little of the image. Ask too much of it—‘the whole truth’ for example—and we
353 will quickly be disappointed” (Didi-Huberman, 32, 2008). Didi-Huberman is making a



354 distinction between visibility and knowing. He is arguing that images which visually appear as
355 quite plain can still ‘speak’ of their socio-cultural conditions. This distinction is particularly
356 pertinent in nuclear contexts when photographic imagery attempts to make visible the presence
357 of ionising radiation. As discussed, this kind of imagery largely results in a type of visuality that
358 sits outside of established modes of nuclear iconography. What *gets* witnessed requires a leap of
359 imagination as it does not look obviously nuclear; images of this nature require attuning into a
360 type of critical *seeing*.

361
362 In my series *Listening to Seaweed*, I too am conscious of the kinds of critical seeing that are
363 required to engage with photographs that at first encounter are subtle with respect to the nuclear
364 complexities they represent. I am drawn to the idea that knowledge can be gained—and
365 consciousness raised—by believing in what is being witnessed through the materiality of
366 analogue photography. However, this is a challenging position for my photographs to take up
367 because they work with something as abstractly complex and huge as the Fukushima wastewater
368 release; the ongoing impacts of the WWII atomic attack on Japan; and present-day militarism.
369 The question for my images then becomes how to use the material properties of analogue
370 photography to establish modes of bearing witness to that which extends beyond the
371 photograph itself. This positioning requires a shift in how photographic images are received.
372 The focus does not revolve around what may or may not be visible within an image—both
373 ionising radiation and the ideologies surrounding nuclear and military contexts are not tangibly
374 evidential—but rather how an image is able to foster an imaginative response to what it
375 indexically connects to and represents. It calls for a type of response where critical reflexivity
376 linked to the making and reception of photographs, is able to push beyond the notion that
377 knowledge is contingent upon deciphering representation and recognition. Meaning, “the
378 significance of what one witnesses may remain uncertain, [and] one’s understanding may remain
379 incomplete.” And “yet there is no doubt as to the reality of what is presented to view, nor of [a
380 photograph’s] ability to provide valuable access to it... all vision is partial” (Balsom, 17, 2017).
381 There is a focus on invisibility as much as there is on visibility.

382

383 4. Listening to Seaweed: *Seeing* Diluted Trace Evidence of Tritium

384 In January 2025 I had the opportunity to spend time on Ishigaki Island (Japan) as an artist-in-
385 residence at MA Umi Residencies. As part of the experience, selected artists are expected to
386 collect, discuss, and experiment with the land, the ocean, and nearby communities. My project,



1387 *Listening to Seaweed*, involved experimenting with the ocean via seaweed.¹¹ As stated, I trialled
1388 using seaweed, gathered from the ocean current of the Fukushima wastewater release, to develop
1389 analogue photographs I had taken in Hiroshima and Ishigaki. Seaweed has natural compounds
1390 like polyphenols and alginic acid, which contain properties that can be used to develop
1391 photographic film. Specifically, polyphenols act as mild developing agents by reducing silver
1392 halides in the film's emulsion in a manner that is similar to traditional chemical developers.
1393 When combined with an alkaline solution, these compounds reveal the latent image that has
1394 formed on the film as a result of exposure to electromagnetic radiation. As such, my aim was to
1395 devise methods that extend those established by artists—some of which are discussed in this
1396 article—who use photographic film to work with radiation contamination and nuclear contexts.
1397 I was specifically drawn to the complexity of attempting to image the diluted trace evidence of
1398 tritium from the Fukushima wastewater release and by proxy the ongoing geo-political impacts
1399 of nuclear imperialism and expanding militarism. In sum, my interest revolved around how
1400 seaweed developer—literally made from algae whose DNA has been altered via the presence of
1401 environmental radioactive nuclides—becomes not just a form of imaging, but also of ethical
1402 listening and imagining. I reflected on how not just photographic film could activate Susan
1403 Schuppli's conception of material witness, but seaweed too. I thought of seaweed, and what it
1404 can communicate about what it has witnessed, as being both of and *in* the image.

1405

1406 The term 'material witness', derived from common law, refers to an individual possessing
1407 information or evidence that is significant to legal proceedings. Such witnesses have either
1408 directly observed a crime or hold critical knowledge relevant to a case, and their testimony or
1409 evidence is considered indispensable to the prosecution or defence. Human and nonhuman
1410 entities such as DNA, documents, objects and other physical evidence are considered material
1411 witnesses. Schuppli explores this latter conception of material witness by focusing on materials

¹¹ Although not the primary focus of this article, I acknowledge the wide-ranging use of seaweed, as both subject and artistic material, across the interdisciplinary field of bio-art. While many individual artists are working with seaweed as a primary focus, so too are a number of interdisciplinary art focused research groups who engage with seaweed and algae—both are ecologically, materially, and politically motivated. Notable examples include *Kelp Congress* at Lofoten International Arts Festival (2019), which foregrounded Indigenous and scientific kelp knowledge; *Ocean Space* (TBA21–Academy, established 2011), which hosts ocean-centered artistic research; *GrowLab*, part of Bioart Society (established 2008), who explore, amongst other projects, Arctic marine ecologies; the *Center for Genomic Gastronomy* (established 2010), which focuses on seaweed's role in food systems and biodiversity; *Waag Future Lab for Technology and Society* (established 1994), which experiments with algae bioplastics and open science; and networks like *DIYbio* (founded 2008), which supports accessible seaweed-based bio-art and citizen-led environmental experimentation. Equally, seaweed has become increasingly significant in sustainable darkroom practices as both a subject and alternative developer. Both individual artists and collectives are using experimental analogue photography to focus on environmental crises, specifically climate change. Of note is *The Sustainable Darkroom* (established 2019), who publish open-source seaweed-based chemistry recipes and facilitate artistic residencies and exhibitions focusing on biodegradable, low-toxicity film processing. Their focus concerns the role of photographic practice with respect to species extinction, industrial toxicity, and environmental racism.



412 and matter “that have recorded trace evidence of the violence that generated their contexts”
413 (Schuppli, 3, 2020). She focuses on how trace evidence of external events are “registered directly
414 by changes in the material composition” of media, thereby “producing a condition of
415 informational enrichment that opens up the artifact to further analysis and critical reflection
416 (Schuppli, 64, 2020). She situates materials as active witnesses rather than as metaphorical;
417 meaning there is a direct causal relationship *between* materials and matter. However, for Schuppli,
418 “the mere fact that materials capture and archive eventful processes within their substratum, or
419 harbour information as metadata, does not convert such entities into *de facto material witnesses*
420 capable of testifying” within their relevant contexts (Schuppli, 18, 2020). Rather her focus
421 revolves around the necessity for matter to intervene by transforming the visible and the
422 intelligible; it must serve as evidence in some capacity.

423

424 For *Listening to Seaweed*, the capacity for materials to witness is encompassed by both the
425 photographic film itself, as well as the developer made from seaweed. Seaweed, like other
426 marine organisms, bioaccumulates radioactive substances from surrounding waters. I am
427 concerned by how the waters that the seaweed near Ishigaki Island grows in have been doubly
428 contaminated—by the 300 plus nuclear tests conducted across uncoded parts of Oceania, and
429 the Fukushima wastewater release. The former, in particular, with their ever increasingly larger
430 yields, caused the distribution of dangerously high levels of ionising radiation across lands,
431 oceans and people throughout Oceania and beyond. These tests, along with detonations across
432 other continents, has resulted in the absorption of “radioactive isotopes of carbon, cesium,
433 strontium, and plutonium” by all post-World War Two humans, plants, and oceans across the
434 planet (Welsome, 489, 1999). The controversial Fukushima wastewater release adds to this
435 absorption via the dumping of what will eventually equate to 1.4 million tons of tritium
436 contaminated water.¹² For my photographs, these contexts creates conditions regarding what
437 can be learnt from seaweed, meaning how it can show something of the environment that
438 nuclear-military logic has created. Put simply, I am curious about what seaweed ancestors have
439 seen, ingested and passed on to one another across ocean time and space, since the inception of
440 nuclear testing and nuclear powerplants, specifically their waste. My resulting series of
441 photographs are attempting to activate seaweed’s narrative potential as a politicising agent.

¹² For a discussion on the legal ramifications of the Japanese government’s decision to release the wastewater, see Chen and Xu’s (2024) The Implementation of the Environmental Impact Assessment in Fukushima Contaminated Water Discharge: an Analysis of the International Legal Framework, *Frontiers in Marine Science*, where they argue that the decision is geo-politically and environmentally irresponsible as it does not conform “with international law, because [Japan] rushed to the decision before conducting adequate environmental impact assessments”.



442

443



444

445

446

Figure 3, Yoshino Cherry Tree, Sanyo Buntokuden, Hiroshima (lovingly held), 4x5" negative processed using seaweed, gathered from the ocean current of the Fukushima wastewater release, Inkjet Washi Photograph, 950 x 1550mm, 2025.

447



448



449

450 Figure 4. *Japanese Pussy Willow Tree, Motomachi, Hiroshima (what you see)*, 4x5" negative processed using seaweed, gathered from the ocean current of
451 the Fukushima wastewater release, Inkjet Washi Photograph, 950 x 1550mm, 2025.

452

453 This curiosity has resulted in a series of photographs of trees and rocky shorelines. As discussed,
454 their visuality is not obviously nuclear. They do not depict any sense of the nuclear and military



455 contexts that inform their surroundings. However, in spite of this lack, the photographs possess,
456 due to their eerie soft greyness and white blotches, a kind of unsettling quality. This subtle
457 quality, along with the context of how the photographs have been produced, evokes a type of
458 engaged looking which results in questioning how the image's content is significant beyond what
459 is simply visible. This looking and questioning is particularly pertinent in nuclear contexts where,
460 as discussed, radiation evades human visual perception but not that of photographic film. After
461 all, "what we see in a photographic image might be something we do not know or recognise, for
462 the camera [and its film] can capture the... appearance of things that escape our
463 perception"(Baer, 87, 2002). For *Listening to Seaweed*, this act of being unsettled by an image in a
464 way that does not lead directly to understanding is powerful as it keeps open the space of
465 witnessing, and the interpretation of other complex socio-political narratives associated with the
466 photographed place. I am interested in how my images can move a viewer's response from
467 'what am I looking at?' to 'what do I expect to see here; what am I being asked to imagine and
468 witness?'.
469

470 This sense of witnessing is expanded to the material properties of the analogue film itself.
471 Where other artists have utilised autoradiography techniques to reveal environmental trace
472 radiation, I have opted for methods that experiment with how the film's latent image is
473 developed. My images, while obviously materially impacted, do not possess the same kinds of
474 enchanting visuality that results from working with the spectral dimension of ionising radiation
475 through the kinds of camera-less exposures described above. Schuppli outlines her concerns
476 regarding autoradiographs in the sense that there are risks that the aesthetic affectual qualities of
477 the resulting images shift attention from critically interrogating nuclear ideology (Schuppli, 263,
478 2020). She acknowledges that although autoradiographs are undeniable materially bound—as
479 evidenced via imaged ghostly traces of radiation—their witnessing capacity, and therefore
480 political agency, is at risk of dilution. For Schuppli "understanding the technicity of such ghostly
481 forces must always balance our affective enchantments, otherwise the agency and politics of
482 nuclear materials are all too quickly transformed into signs that can be read, and are expressive of
483 cultural value, but eschew their evidential capacity to testify to events" (Schuppli, 263, 2020). I
484 align with Schuppli's position as my images too are trying to balance relationships between what
485 is materially witnessed; the role of critical imagination and narration; as well as enviro-political
486 agency.
487

488 For my photographs, material witnessing functions twofold. It firstly occurs through how the



489 film's emulsion is impacted by the seaweed developer and how what it contains speaks to its
490 nuclear-military impacted environment. This impact visually registers as overall grey fogging,
491 with some small and inconsistent white blotches. The film has responded in this way because of
492 how the development process involves constant agitation as opposed to soaking. In other
493 words, there is very little time where the seaweed solution stays in direct contact with the film for
494 prolonged periods. That said, the film's latent image is still impacted during the development.
495 Furthermore, even although the amount of tritium and other radionuclides that are present in
496 the seaweed are diluted, due to ocean expanse and currents, they still materially register their
497 presence. The film indexically confirms what human eyes cannot detect.

498

499 This form of indexical material witnessing extends to the latent image itself, meaning the image
500 caused by the original exposure which resides in the silver crystal halides of the photographic
501 film, awaiting development from either conventional photographic chemistry or, in my case,
502 seaweed. At first glance these images appear as somewhat removed from the nuclear military
503 contexts they attempt to critique, however they are intimately tied to both the birth and use of
504 nuclear weapons, as well as the logic that proposes nuclear power as a safe byproduct of this
505 technology. As such the photographs of survivor trees and rocky shorelines that make up
506 *Listening to Seaweed* materially witness the start and ongoing destructive devastation of the nuclear,
507 be it those who continue to fight for reparations; the generation of power; or the renewed threat
508 of the use of nuclear weapons.¹³ From Little Boy's remnants to the ongoing dilemma of
509 Fukushima's cleanup and decommission, these somewhat mundane photographs evidence the
510 totality of nuclear imperialism. My images place viewers in a position where they must
511 simultaneously imagine the ethical, social, and political horrors of nuclear weapons and power
512 plants while also materially witnessing their destructive violence through how the seaweed
513 developer has impacted the film. In other words, multiple forms of looking, imagining and
514 witnessing are required.

515

516 Conclusion

517 This article has explored how the material and indexical properties of film may be employed to
518 visualize invisible trace radiation. My focus has been on how to develop modes of photographic
519 seeing that not only extend established artistic methods of working with nuclear contexts but
520 also the role of imagination with respect to the reception of images. As such, the medium of

¹³ In addition to Russia threatening to use nuclear weapons against Ukraine, Israeli Minister Amihai Eliyahu has also publically declared that using a nuclear bomb on the Gaza strip in Palestine was a viable option. For further discussion, see: Heba's (2024) Commentary: Nuclear Weapons, Israel and Gaza", The International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons.



521 photography is understood as being both reflective of reality as well as an active force that
522 materially interacts with it. In other words, there is a focus on how a photographic image's
523 special referential relationship to the world can incite imaginative witnessing responses to the
524 socio-political reality it is connected to. This thinking extends to nuclear contexts, where
525 witnessing shifts beyond what can be directly perceived.
526



527
528

529
530

Figure 5. *Eucalyptus* Tree, Motomachi, Hiroshima (*a different time*), 4x5" negative processed using seaweed, gathered from the ocean current of the Fukushima wastewater release, Inkjet Washi Photograph, 950 x 1550mm, 2025.

531



532 In *Listening to Seaweed*, imagination and witnessing come together in ways that are materially and
533 conceptually nuanced. By conceptualising both the seaweed and the photographic process as
534 witnesses, the images of this series interrogate how materials themselves can testify to legacies of
535 nuclear-military violence and contamination. However, witnessing extends beyond what is
536 visibly present in an image. Rather than offering direct visual representations of nuclear-military
537 violence, my images establish a type of witnessing that is marked by subtle material distortions
538 within the film itself, which evoke the unseen presence of contamination. The photographs do
539 not only present a reality; rather that reality is both of and *in* the images themselves. As such,
540 imagination is an essential tool in both the creation and reception of these images.

541 Fundamentally, there is a challenge to imagine a multitude of invisible forces at play—meaning
542 radiation contamination, and the so called logic of nuclear-militarism and nuclear power. In
543 addition, the seaweed developer, altered by radioactive nuclides within the ocean, transforms the
544 film in ways that indexically confirm the presence of contamination. However, the photographs
545 themselves remain deliberately subtle, encouraging forms of imaginative witnessing that move
546 beyond what can literally be seen. Equally, an imaginative leap is required in order to understand
547 the broader implications of what has been inscribed into the film’s materiality.

548

549 *Listening to Seaweed* works with the complexity of witnessing, where evidence is not fixed, but
550 instead, is a dynamic interplay between visibility, invisibility, and imagination. This interplay
551 enables viewers to confront the ethical, social, and political dimensions of nuclear violence,
552 making the act of seeing not just a matter of observation, but a process of interpretation and
553 ethical-critical reflection. Central to this process is how the film’s greyness and white blotches—
554 a direct result of active environmental radioactive contamination—function as potent forms of
555 narrative testimony. In essence, the analogue film’s inherent materiality speaks in a manner that
556 “involves a conceptual realignment away from a functional understanding of ‘speech’ towards an
557 engagement with the expressive technicity of matter” (Schuppli, 263, 2020. Disturbingly, the
558 existence of radiation in the Pacific Ocean waters that surround Ishigaki Island—the very waters
559 which feed the seaweed used to develop my images—is materially inscribed into the film’s
560 physicality, meaning the silver halide crystals that make up its emulsion. The film’s crystals, with
561 their latent images of Hiroshima’s hibakujumoku and Ishigaki’s rocky shorelines, have much to
562 say about our current cultural moment with respect to nuclear imperialism. As such, as the film
563 speaks, we too must develop ways to better hear what it is saying.

564

565



566 **Bibliography**

- 567 Baer, U.: Spectral Evidence: The Photographing of Trauma, MIT Press, Cambridge; Massachusetts, 210
568 pp., ISBN 0262025159, 2002.
- 569
- 570 Balsom, E.: The Reality-Based Community. *eflux Journal* #83, 1-13, [http://www.e-](http://www.eflux.com/journal/83/142332/the-reality-based-community/)
571 [flux.com/journal/83/142332/the-reality-based-community/](http://www.eflux.com/journal/83/142332/the-reality-based-community/), 2017.
- 572
- 573 Barclay, B.: Our Own Image: A Story of a Māori Filmmaker, University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis,
574 128 pp., ISBN: 9780816697618, 2015.
- 575
- 576 Barthes, R.: Camera Lucida: Reflections on Photography, Hill & Wang, New York City, 144 pp., ISBN
577 0374532338, 1980.
- 578
- 579 Bolen, J.: Site A/Plot M, 2011-13, [archival pigment prints], <http://www.jeremybolen.com/11>, last access
580 9 March 2025.
- 581
- 582 Burgin, V. (Ed): Thinking Photography, Red Globe Press, London, 252 pp.,
583 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-16716-6>, 1982.
- 584
- 585 Charrière, J.: First Light, [inkjet photographs], VG Bild-Kunst, Bonn, Germany, 2016.
- 586
- 587 Chen, X, and Xu, Q.: The Implementation of the Environmental Impact Assessment in Fukushima
588 Contaminated Water Discharge: an Analysis of the International Legal Framework, *Frontiers in*
589 *Marine Science*, 11:1343710, 1-16. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2024.1343710, 2024.
- 590
- 591 Davre, A.: Revealing the Radioactive Contamination after Fukushima in Japanese Photography, *Writing*
592 *Photo Histories*, Volume 10, Issue 1, 1-12. <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.7977573.0010.104>,
593 2019.
- 594
- 595 Didi-Huberman, G.: Images in Spite of All: Four Photographs from Auschwitz, Chicago University Press,
596 Chicago, 248 pp., ISBN 0226148173, 2008.
- 597
- 598 Enwezor, O.: Documentary/ Vérité: Bio-Politics, Human Rights, and the Figure of 'Truth' in
599 Contemporary Art, in: *The Green Room: Reconsidering the Documentary and Contemporary*
600 *Art*, edited by: Lind, M., and Steyerl, H., 62-102, Sternberg Press, Berlin, ISBN 978-1-933128-53-
601 5, 2009.
- 602
- 603 Ferreira, M. F., Turner, V., and Jha, A. N.: Controlled Release of Radioactive Water from the Fukushima
604 Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant: Should We Be Concerned?, *Environmental Science &*
605 *Technology*, 58: 11, 4840-4843, DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.3c08702, 2024.
- 606
- 607 Hariman, R., and Lucaites, J. L.: The Iconic Image of the Mushroom Cloud and the Cold War Nuclear
608 Optic, in: *Picturing Atrocity: Photography in Crisis*, edited by: Batchen, G., Gidley, M., Miller, N.
609 K., and Prosser, J., 135-146, Reaktion Books, London, ISBN 186189872X, 2012.
- 610
- 611 Hepner, A.: *Transuranic*, 2013, [uranotype prints], <https://abbey-hepner.com/#/work/transuranic/>, last
612 access: 9 March 2025.
- 613
- 614 Hussain, N., and Zahoor, S.: Determinants of the US Nuclear Imperialism: a Theoretical Analysis.
615 *Margalla Papers: A Journal of International Affairs*, Issue II, Volume: XXIII, 71-84,
616 <https://margallapapers.ndu.edu.pk/site/issue/view/15/4>, 2019.
- 617
- 618 Kagaya, M.: *Autoradiograph*, 2012 - , [online digital archive], <https://www.autoradiograph.org/ja/>, last
619 access: 7 March 2025.
- 620



- 621 Kawakubo, Y.: If the Radiance of a Thousand Suns Were to Burst at Once into the Skies, 2014-19,
622 [pigment photographic print], <https://www.yoikawakubo.com/thousand-suns>, last access: 7
623 March 2025.
- 624
- 625 Kriemann, S.: Library for Radioactive Archive, 2016 - , [autoradiographs and mixed media],
626 <https://susannekriemann.info/pechblende>, last access: 9 March 2025.
- 627
- 628 Lewis, L.: Nuclear experts would drink Japan's treated radioactive wastewater, RNZ: Pacific, June 23,
629 [https://www.rnz.co.nz/international/pacific-news/492475/nuclear-experts-would-drink-japan-](https://www.rnz.co.nz/international/pacific-news/492475/nuclear-experts-would-drink-japan-s-treated-radioactive-wastewater)
630 [s-treated-radioactive-wastewater](https://www.rnz.co.nz/international/pacific-news/492475/nuclear-experts-would-drink-japan-s-treated-radioactive-wastewater), 2023.
- 631
- 632 Linfield, S.: The Cruel Radiance: Photography and Political Violence, University of Chicago, Chicago
633 Press, 344 pp., ISBN 0226482510, 2010.
- 634 Lucien H., and Pallain, M.: Fukushima: The Invisible Revealed, 2012, [chrono-radiographs],
635 <https://www.marcpallain.com/fukushima-the-invisible-revealed/>, last access: 9 March 2025.
- 636 Miceli, A.: Project Chernobyl, 2006-10, [radiographic negatives],
637 <https://www.alicemiceli.com/projects/chernobyl>, last access: 9 March 2025.
- 638 Moskatova, O.: Photographing hyperobjects: The non-human temporality of autoradiography,
639 *Philosophy of Photography* 13:1, 119–133. https://doi.org/10.1386/pop_00042_1, 2022.
- 640 Niwelńska, M.: γ [gamma trace], 2017, [photosensitive emulsion on aluminium and copper plates],
641 <http://www.niwelinska.com/albums/hidden-entropy/>, last access: 7 March 2025.
- 642 O'Hara Slavick, E.: After Hiroshima, 2008, [autoradiograph prints],
643 <https://www.elinoharaslavick.com/after-hiroshima-nagasaki-and-fukushima.html>, last access: 9
644 March 2025.
- 645 Rosler, M.: In, Around and Afterthoughts (on Documentary Photography), in *The Contest of Meaning:*
646 *Critical Histories of Photography*, edited by: Bolton, R., 303-340. MIT Press, Cambridge;
647 Massachusetts, ISBN 9780262521697, 1992.
- 648 Sontag, S.: *On Photography*, Penguin Books, London, 207 pp., ISBN 0374226261, 1977.
- 649 Schuppli, S.: *Material Witness: Media, Forensics, Evidence*, MIT Press, Cambridge; Massachusetts, 392
650 pp., ISBN 9780262043571, 2020.
- 651 Shevchenko, V.: *Chernobyl: Chronicle of Difficult Weeks*, Glasnost Film Festival; Video: VH, 72mins,
652 1986.
- 653 Tagg, J.: *The Burden of Representation: Essays on Photographies and Histories*, University of Minnesota
654 Press, Minnesota, 272 pp., ISBN 9781517912239, 1988.
- 655 Taha, H.: Commentary: Nuclear Weapons, Israel and Gaza, The International Campaign to Abolish
656 Nuclear Weapons, https://www.icanw.org/commentary_nuclearweapons_israel_gaza, 2024.
- 657 Takeda, S.: TRACE - Cameraless Records of Radioactive Contamination, in *Making the Geologic Now:*
658 *Responses to Material Conditions of Contemporary Life*, edited by: Ellsworth, E. and Kruse, J.,
659 206-212. Punctum Books, Brooklyn; DOI 10.21983/P3.0014.1.00, 2013.
- 660 ———. *Trace series*, 2012, [silver gelatin silver print], <https://www.shimpeitakeda.com/trace/>, accessed
661 7 March 2025.
- 662 Volkmar, A.: *Art and Nuclear Power: The Role of Culture in the Environmental Debate*, Lanham:
663 Lexington Books/Fortress Academmic, New York City, 220 pp., ISBN 9781666900224, 2022.
- 664 Welsome, E.: *The Plutonium Files: America's Secret Medical Experiments in the Cold War*, Dial, New
665 York, 592 pp., ISBN 9780385314022, 1999.